



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT
PC7250MEBIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: : EXAMINER: J. BROWN
 DOUGLAS J. M. ALLEN ET AL. :
 SERIAL NO.: 07/449,961 : ART UNIT: 183
 FILED: DECEMBER 11, 1989 :
 FOR: AZITHROMYCIN DIHYDRATE :

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
 Washington, D.C. 20231

I hereby certify that this
 correspondence is being
 deposited with the United States
 Postal Service as First Class mail
 in an envelope addressed to:
 Commissioner of Patents and
 Trademarks, Washington, D.C.
 20231, on this 15th day of
March 19 92

Sir:

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.139

I, George A. Forcier, declare that:

1. I received a Ph.D. degree in Analytical Chemistry
 from the University of Massachusetts in 1966.

2. I have been employed by Pfizer Inc, the assignee of
 the above-identified application since 1966. My current
 position is that of Group Director, Analytical Research and
 Development Department. Part of my responsibility is the
 supervision and direction of analytical procedures performed
 on experimental pharmaceuticals, including azithromycin.

3. I am familiar with the subject matter of the above-
 identified application.

4. I am familiar with the impact which the physical
 and chemical properties of experimental drugs have on the
 commercial potential of the product.

5. Hygroscopicity tests on azithromycin dihydrate
 (Type A) and azithromycin "monohydrate" (Type B) were
 performed under my direction and supervision. Azithromycin
 "monohydrate" is a crystalline solid that exists as a non-
 stoichiometric hydrate because of its hygroscopic nature.
 The dihydrate (Type A) is a discrete crystalline compound.

6. Example 1, p. 7 of the above-identified application
 correctly describes the hygroscopic behavior of azithromycin
 dihydrate at relative humidity of 18%, 33%, 75% and 100%.
 This experiment was done under my direction and supervision.

7. Preparation 1, p. 9 of the above-identified
 application correctly describes the hygroscopic behavior of

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

-2-

azithromycin monohydrate at relative humidities of 18%, 33%, 75% and 100%. This experiment was done under my direction and supervision.

8. The significance of Example 1 and Preparation 1 lies in the fact that azithromycin dihydrate maintained the constant water content of the dihydrate (4.6%) at relative humidities of 33% and 75% over a 3 day period. In contrast, the monohydrate increased water content from the theoretical value of 2.6% to 6.6% at 75% relative humidity and 5.6% at 33% relative humidity.

9. A side by side test comparing the relative hygroscopicity of azithromycin dihydrate and monohydrate was conducted under my supervision and direction. Two lots of monohydrate were compared with two lots of dihydrate at 75% relative humidity for 120 hours. The monohydrate was found to gain about six times more water than the dihydrate as shown in the table below.

HYGROSCOPICITY OF AZITHROMYCIN AT 75% RELATIVE HUMIDITY Weight Gain (%)				
Time (hour)	Monohydrate Lot 209-1F	Dihydrate* Lot 76-1	Dihydrate Lot 274-1	Monohydrate Lot 82-1
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	0.94	- 0.21	0.21	1.58
5	1.04	- 0.20	0.35	1.72
24	1.28	- 0.11	0.39	1.86
48	1.26	- 0.06	0.34	1.81
70	1.25	+ 0.06	0.34	1.81
120	1.13	- 0.20	0.19	1.69
*The weight loss is believed to be due to mechanical loss of very fine powder of this sample when the weighing bottles were opened and closed.				

10. Lack of hygroscopicity is an important advantage in a pharmaceutical product. Hygroscopic azithromycin (Type B) has poor handling properties, such as poor flowability and adhesiveness to equipment surfaces, and is susceptible to